

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

N.K. NK

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

			,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,684	12/11/2001	Jonathan Caldwell Wright	3869/026	1015
7	590 01/28/2003			
Gottlieb, Rackman & Reisman, P.C.			EXAMINER	
	270 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10016-0601 RADEMACHER, MARK			ER, MARK A
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Annlicentic			
	•	_	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summary	10/014,684	WRIGHT ET AL.			
	•	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Mark Rademacher	3761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-104</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-104</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) 🔲 The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) 'atent Application (PTO-152)			
Patent and Tra						

Application/Control Number: 10/014,684 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 20-72, 80-100 and 104, drawn to an apparatus for evaluating the condition of a patent after a stroke classified in class 600 subclass 538
- II. Claims 1-19 and 73-79, drawn to a method for determining for evaluating the condition of a patent after a stroke indicator, classified in class 128 subclass 200.24
- III. Claim102 and 103, an apparatus for evaluating the condition of a patent after a stroke, classified in class 600 subclass 538.
- IV. Claim 101, drawn to a method for evaluating the condition of a patent after a stroke, classified in class 128, subclass 200.24.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because an effort sensor, and a respiratory band in particular, are not required by the claims directed to the combination. The subcombination has separate utility because it may be used to determine the vigor of the patient.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,684

Art Unit: 3761

3. Inventions II and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require

the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the

subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant

case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as

claimed because the combination recited in claim 101 does not require the step of delivering of a

breathable gas to the patient. The subcombination has separate utility such as ventilating a

patient, which is not required to simply derive a stoke indicator based on detected sleep apneas.

4. Inventions I and III, and II and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice.

The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be

practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed

can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this

case the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus. The

process of determining a stroke indicator or CPAP delivery method may by practiced by an

apparatus that utilizes patient interface other than a mask, such as cannulae or an intubation

apparatus, and that does not include a computer programmed to deliver gas at a pressure higher

than atmospheric pressure to the patient, but instead simply delivers air to a patient without

computer control.

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Groups I and III is not required for Groups II and IV, the search required for Group I is not

required for Group III, and the search required for Group II is not required for Group IV,

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

6. A telephone call was made to Raymond Churchill on January 16, 2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Rademacher whose telephone number is (703) 305-0842. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9:30am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (703) 308-1957. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

MAR January 16, 2003 GLENN K. DAWSON